# RETROSPECTIVE REPRODUCIBILITY ANALYSIS OF STANDARD MRI PARAMETERS ACROSS THREE PRE-CLINICAL MOUSE TUMOUR XENOGRAFT MODELS

<sup>1</sup>Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, <sup>2</sup>BC Cancer Research Institute, Vancouver, BC, Canada, <sup>3</sup>Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia, BC, Canada

## Introduction

Target Audience: This work will be of use to mouse pre-clinical cancer researchers interested in the reproducibility of standard MRI measurements, particularly T<sub>1</sub>, IAUC<sub>60</sub>, IAUGC<sub>60</sub>, k<sup>trans</sup>

Purpose: This is a retrospective analysis of control animals imaged as part of other studies as a baseline for cross-site comparisons and in the design of future studies to estimate statistical power and expected effect size based on biological variability.

#### Methods

Animals: A total of 42 tumour bearing NOD/SCID mice were analyzed for this reproducibility study. Mice were implanted with one of three human tumour xenografts: BT474 (breast ductal carcinoma, n=15), MDA-361 (breast adeno carcinoma, n=17), or HT29 (n=10 colorectal carcinoma). The MDA-361, HT29, and 10 of the BT474 tumours were implanted subcutaneously in the dorsal region of a mouse, while the remaining 5 tumours were implanted orthotopically in the mammary fat pad. Tumours were imaged when they reached approximately 300mm<sup>3</sup>.

MRI: Imaging was performed using a 7T scanner (Bruker Biospec 70/30, Germany) with a volume coil transmit and a custom built surface receive coil. T1 maps were acquired using a standard 2D multi-slice FLASH-based Look-Locker sequence. A subset of animals (n=7 animals, subcutaneous BT474 tumours) were imaged using a DCE-MRI 2D spoiled gradient echo sequence at a temporal resolution of 2.2 - 4s following an ~0.2 mL power injected bolus of Gd-DTPA-BMA (Omniscan, GE Healthcare; Milwaukee, WI) diluted to 0.05 mM/mL. Typical spatial resolution was 0.3mm x 0.3mm in-plane and 1-1.5mm through-plane with 6-8 slices acquired for each tumour.

Histology: Animals were euthanized following the last imaging session and tumours were immediately excised and frozen. Serial step cryosections 10µm thick were obtained at 0.5 mm intervals and those corresponding to MRI slices were identified [1]. In all cases, sections were stained and imaged with CD31 (endothelium to identify blood vessels), Hoechst 33342 (nuclear dye to reflect cell density), and intravenously injected carbocynanine labeling of perfused vessels; a few tumours were also stained for TUNEL to mark apoptosis. Sections were imaged using a robotic microscope and camera to obtain tiled images of whole tumour sections [1].

Analysis: T1 maps were obtained from the Look-Locker sequence by fitting the T1 recovery equation to the complex data in a flip-angle independent fashion, as described by Chuang et al [2]. IAUC60 was calculated using the normalized (baseline pre-Gd) signal intensity curves using Simpson's integration method and the IAUGC60 was obtained using the concentration curve calculated using the baseline T1 and the signal intensity at each time point. DCE-MRI data was analyzed by applying the extended Tofts model to the data and obtaining  $k^{trans}$ ,  $v_e$  and  $v_p$  (data not shown in abstract). Regions of interest were manually drawn by a single observer in all cases.

### **Results and Discussion**

General: Tumour size is often a confounding factor in pre-clinical cancer studies as tumour growth can vastly overshadow interventions. ROIs were used to estimate the tumour volume, 340 ± 25 mm<sup>3</sup> (± std. error, n=42), indicating that observed parameter differences are unrelated to tumour size. Result 1: Baseline T<sub>1</sub> depends on the tumour model. Voxels within each tumour were gathered and the distribution of the T<sub>1</sub>values is shown in Fig 1. as a normalized histogram. Unlike literature reported baseline T<sub>1</sub> values in normal tissues such as the brain, the variability in baseline T<sub>1</sub> in tumours is large (stdev: 415-550 ms) strongly suggesting the presence of heterogenous compartments within the tumour which have been confirmed histologically [1]. Result 2: Correlation of IAUC<sub>60</sub>, IAUGC<sub>60</sub>. There is a high correlation between IAUC<sub>60</sub> and IAUGC<sub>60</sub> (r = 0.81) indicating the model-free approach (IAUC60) to analysing DCE-MRI data is sufficient in some cases. While the relationship between IAUGC60 and pharmacokinetic parameters such as k<sup>trans</sup>, ve and vp have been explored in great detail [3], the relationship between IAUC60 and IAUGC60 is unclear. Here we report that IAUC60 is a suitable surrogate for IAUGC<sub>60</sub>, particularly when considering  $\Delta$ IAUC<sub>60</sub> calculated before and after an intervention.

Conclusions: Implications of this study are two fold: 1) care must be taken when choosing pre-clinical models as the intra-tumour microenvironments tend to vary substantially and 2) when normalized, IAUC<sub>60</sub> is an appropriate surrogate for IAUGC<sub>60</sub> in DCE-MRI studies.



# Slice-based IAUGC<sub>60</sub> vs. IAUC<sub>60</sub>



Fig. 1 - Voxel-wise distribution of T1 values for three tumour cell lines across 42 animals, BT474 (aqua), MDA-361 (red) and HT29 (yellow) indicate that distinct tumour microenvironments contribute to a large range of T<sub>1</sub> values at basline.

Fig. 2 - Slice-wise correlation plot of IAUC60 and IAUGC60 of T1 7 BT474 tumours with each point representing a tumour slice average (r = 0.81, Spearman coefficient). Solid line is a best fit line and dotted lines indicate the 95% confidence interval.

#### References

- [1] Bains, L.J., Baker, J.H.E. et al. (2009). International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics, 74(3), 957–965.
- [2] Chuang, KH et al (2006). MRM 55, 604-611, [3] Walker-Samuel S. et al. (2006). Physics in Medicine and Biology 51, 3593-3602